



Crawley 2035

Ref No:

Office use only

Crawley Submission draft Local Plan Representation

Please return your completed representation form to Crawley Borough Council
by 5pm on 2 March 2020.

Representations can be made via this form and emailed to forward.planning@crawley.gov.uk or sent via post to: Local Plan Consultation, Strategic Planning, Crawley Borough Council, Town Hall, The Boulevard, Crawley, RH10 1UZ. Alternatively, representations can be made online using the [eform](#) which allows attachments of documents.

This form has two parts:

PART A – Personal details

By law, representations cannot be made anonymously. All representations will be published alongside your name, company name (if applicable), and your client's name/company (if applicable). The Council will use the information you submit to assist with formulating planning policy.

Further information about Data Protection Rights in line with the provisions of the General Data Protection Regulations and Data Protection Act 2018, for example, how to contact the Data Protection Officer, how long information is held or how we process your personal information can be found at www.crawley.gov.uk/privacy. Specific reference to the Local Plan and planning policy related public consultation can be found on: www.crawley.gov.uk/pw/web/PUB351893

PART B – Your representation

Please fill in a separate sheet for each representation you wish to make. You may submit multiple "PART B" sections with a single "PART A" completed.

PART A – Personal details

Please ensure that you complete all fields in 1. If a planning agent is appointed, please enter the Title, Name and Organisation in 1, and complete the full contact details of the agent in 2.

	1. Personal details	2. Agent's details
Title:	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
First name:	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Surname:	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Organisation:	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Address line 1:	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

Address line 2:	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Town/city:	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Postcode:	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Telephone:	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>
Email:	<input type="text"/>	<input type="text"/>

PART B – Your representation

3. Please tick the document that you would like to make a representation on:

- Crawley submission Local Plan
- Crawley submission Local Plan Map
- Crawley submission Sustainability Appraisal
- Habitats Regulation Assessment Screening Report

4. Which part of the Local Plan does this representation relate to?

Paragraph: Policy: Other:

5. Do you consider the Local Plan to be: *(Please tick)*

- | | | |
|---|------------------------------|--|
| 5.1. Legally compliant? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> | No <input type="checkbox"/> |
| 5.2. Sound? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> | No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |
| 5.3. Compliant with the duty to co-operate? | Yes <input type="checkbox"/> | No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> |

6. Please give details explaining your response to 5.1, 5.2, or 5.3 below. Please be as clear as possible.

Please see email dated 28 February 2020.

If required, please continue your response on an additional piece of paper and securely attach it to this response

- 7. Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to resolve the issues you have identified above. You need to state why this modification will make the Local Plan legally compliant or sound. It would be helpful if you are able to suggest how the wording of any policy or text should be revised. Please be as clear as possible. Any non-compliance with the duty to co-operate is incapable of modification at examination.**

Publication of a plan for what CBC would seek in the areas coming forward beyond its boundaries to redefine the extent of a greater Crawley.

If required, please continue your response on an additional piece of paper and securely attach it to this response

*Your representation should cover succinctly all the information, evidence and supporting information necessary to support/justify the representation and the suggested modification, as there will not normally be a subsequent opportunity to make further representations. **After this stage, further submissions will only be at the request of the Inspector, based on the matters and issues s/he identifies for examination.***

8. **If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate in the public examination hearings? (Please tick)**

No, I do not wish to participate in the examination hearings

Yes, I wish to participate in the examination hearings

9. **If you wish to participate in the public examination hearings, please outline why you consider this to be necessary:**

The Inspector will determine the most appropriate procedure to adopt to hear those who have indicated that they wish to participate at the public examination.

If you would like to make a representation on another policy or part of the Local Plan then please complete a separate PART B section of the form or securely attach an additional piece of paper. Copies of the representation form can also be downloaded from the council's website at: www.crawley.gov.uk/crawley2035

Signature

Date

02 March 2020

From: [Brigden, Elizabeth](#)
To: [Mosinghi, Richard](#)
Cc: [Brigden, Elizabeth](#)
Subject: FW: Crawley Borough Local Plan 2020-2035 Consultation: Representation
Date: 02 March 2020 09:28:22

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 28 February 2020 17:35
To: Forward.Plans <Forward.Plans@crawley.gov.uk>
Subject: Crawley Borough Local Plan 2020-2035 Consultation: Representation

Dear Forward Planning

Conscious that I have left things too late to comment as fully as I might have wished, I would make two points.

First, with regard to Policy DD5:Tree Replacement Standards: whilst the first three sentences of paragraph 5.42 are good, the subsequent text should be amended to eliminate the possibility of an interpretation that adequate compensation can actually be achieved let alone ensured by replacement. It should be acknowledged that whilst the principle of 'replacement standards' has its place, there will without doubt, be instances in which some trees will be held to be literally irreplaceable; and whilst this still might not be sufficient to safeguard them against every development related eventuality, their loss or removal would need to be recognised as constituting an impact that would be beyond any compensation available through the planning system.

Second, with regard to the overall scope and vision of the consultation draft document and notwithstanding any Duty to Cooperate, the statement in the Foreword about Forge Wood being the last full neighbourhood that can be built within the borough boundary because '...there is simply no space left' is most telling. Whilst the document makes reference to reliance on effective cooperation for development that might come forward, the danger is now at the gates. Development is taking place beyond the boundary, outside of CBC control, and will continue to do so in a way that is openly feared as being contrary to the neighbourhood principle on which Crawley's success is founded, at the same time as imposing damaging infrastructural pressures within the existing boundary that will become increasingly impossible to resist or accommodate in an acceptable or sustainable manner. It is evident that the Duty to Cooperate between neighbouring authorities has already failed in at least one major development on the CBC/MSDC boundary, and central government planning imposition threatens on the HDC boundary (Homes England West of Ifield) and from Gatwick (through DCO/NSIP permissions.) The consultation draft plan may well comply with legal requirements, and it certainly mentions duty to cooperate, but in so far as it remains acquiescent of a boundary that is doomed to meaninglessness in terms of self determination of the borough, and that it has declared no physical plan for what it would seek in the areas coming forward beyond its boundaries to redefine the extent of a greater Crawley, the consultation draft can barely claim to be sound.

Kind regards

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]