Local Plan Representation FPLP525214459

PART A Personal details		
Title		
First name		
Last name		
Is the address		
Enter postcode or street name to		
lookup		
Address		
House name or number		
Street		
Neighbourhood		
Town		
County		
Postcode		
Email		
Confirm email		
Mobile number		
Other phone number		
Has a planning agent been appointed?	No	

PART B Your representation	
Which document would you like to	Craw ey subm ss on Loca P an
make a representation on?	
Which part of the Local Plan does this	Other
representation relate to?	
Please give details.	TINSLEY LANE PLAYING FIELDS THREE BRIDGES - THIS DEVELOPMENT IS NOT FEASIBLE
Legally compliant?	No
Sound?	No
Compliant with the duty to co-	No
operate?	

Please give details explaining your response

Myse f, my fam y and other res dents have made t abundant y c ear that th s deve opment s not feas be. The fact t keeps com ng up s beggars be ef. Here are the key points to my object on and a the comments I have made prev ous y st stand. - Pr vacy/No se/Des gn/Access. ALL THE THINGS I HAVE OPPOSED BEFORE. B rch Lea s a cu de sac that s not su tab e for use as a man access road for such a development. The road has a major kink and even the proposed w den ng wou d a ow m ted v s b ty. 100+ homes would represent traffic that the local roads are not designed to cope with, T ns ey Lane nc uded. The head ghts com ng up the road are bearab e n a cu de sac but wou d not be acceptab e f used as a ma n road. Wou d I be a owed to put up a 6ft per meter fence? under current p ann ng the answer s no!! My wa s current y h t numerous t mes each year w th cu de sac traff c. As a ma n road what s the guarantee of safety n me us ng my garden which borders the road?The proposed access v a B rch Lea s a so too narrow to cope w th the traff c generated by such a arge number of houses w thout caus ng danger to pedestr ans and to res dents extng the r dr ves. - Loss of recreat ona space -Oakwood represents some of the a ready m ted space ava ab e for recreat on n Craw ey. The space a owed for Oakwood however s not suff c ent for t to continue in its present size and would result in several of its boys and girls youth teams hav ng nowhere to p ay. W th new deve opments p anned or under construct on in Forge Wood, Pease Pottage, Kinwood Vale, the town centre and If e d go f course the demand for recreat ona space s even greater than when the Loca P an was first prepared and once our sports fields are lost they w never be recovered. My own, and my ne ghbours prev ous comments on app cat on CR/2018/0544/OUT st stand today. This development is not feas b e and the proposed benef ts are a farce. My house s a 25 m nute wak from Three br dges stat on and 15 m nutes from the nearest bus stop, I would not deem that conven ent in the sightest. People moving in to the new deve opment wou d certa n y not f nd that conven ent mean ng on y one th ng a mass ve ncrease n road traff c that the roads are NOT bu t for. I would ke to see t me and energy spent on feas b e proposa s around the town and not just "oh there's some footba p tches we can deve op". Qu te frank y myse f and my ne ghbours are fed up of this year in year out - t is a waste of valuable resources and everybody's t me. Yes we need hous ng BUT we need to do prov de t n the right way. Thanks for considering this comment on a residents at 9 B rch Lea and the T ns ey Lane Res dents Assoc at on

Please set out what modification(s) you consider necessary to resolve the issues you have identified above

If your representation is seeking a modification, do you consider it necessary to participate in the public examination hearings? Do you wish to upload any supporting Pann ng.pdf documentation or files? Form submitted by:

The cons derat on for deve opment of Oakwood Footba p tches needs to be removed from the p an. The case as we have a commented before s severe y f awed.

No, I do not wish to participate in the examination hearings

on 19/06/2023

Comment on application CR/2018/0544/OUT

Many thanks for your notification of the Planning Application for Access through Birch Lea.

I have objections to the plans on numerous accounts and am in support of the objections put forward by my neighbours in Birch Lea and also the Tinsley Lane Residents association.

My neighbour KH at number has mentioned the following, and I would like to echo my support:

"The Plan omits the positions of the drive exits, of number (BL). As raised previously, there is a serious safety issue in exiting those. An exiting driver cannot see cars or motorcycles coming up from Tinsley Lane before driving out onto their road space

Having to rely for ones safely on other drivers, of cars and motorcycles, is an unusual practice. A driver entering a main road serving a 150 house community, would normally expect to be able to see that it is safe to do so.

The distance away that an up-coming driver could begin to see an exiting car, that is already at the end of the 1.8 metre verge and also at the edge of the roadway, is 19.5m. At 30mph the rate of travel is 13.4 metres per second. Thus the 19.5m is traversed in 1.5seconds and in 2.2 seconds at 20mph.

The same distance is measured from both drives, due to the road curvature.

The data used to position the up-coming driver's eyes in the centre half of the upcoming roadway, are as on the submitted plan. Namely there will be a 1.9 metre verge from the wall and then a total road width of 5.9 metres after that. That will also do for a motorcyclist. The modified road will encourage residents to use hedges, fencing, a taller brick wall etc. to get privacy."

I will base my objection around the points that I am able to comment on as per your letter:

- 1. Privacy I moved my family to Birch Lea on the basis I was moving to a quite private cul de sac. Using the road as access obviously reduces the privacy. With the increase in road usage I feel that I would have to install proper perimeter fencing to maintain the same levels of privacy that I have today.
- 2. Noise A continuation of the above point, the access road would increase the noise that I would here whilst at my property. Use of the road for access is poor in design as it splits our road in two and we will not be the same quiet community that we are today.
- 3. Design The design of the road I feel is unsafe as it was never intended for heavy traffic. The curvature of the road has already led to many occasions where my wall has been hit my vehicles. The increase in footpath width would help but only slightly with this issue. Use of the road for access is poor in design as it splits our road in two and we will not be the same quiet community that we are today.
- 4. Access Vehicular access has been prioritised for the new development with complete oversight of the residents of Birch Lea. It will become very dangerous exiting my drive as mentioned above in the statement from my neighbour. People will no doubt speed up and

- down our road just as they already do on Tinsley Lane. The traffic survey was done on non-working days so the results are complete nonsense.
- 5. Character and street scene whilst there is not much point commenting on this for the access road I can comment on viewpoint of the housing development. I have previously had rejected planning permission for a dormer on my property as it was not in keeping with the rest of the street even though I used the same tiles as my roof etc. All the while it seems to be ok to develop a whole new estate that is not in keeping with Birch Lea and Tinsley Lane!

We cannot comment on so many things such as devaluation etc. Why should I be out of pocket for this development? I will have to improve my property in terms of security, privacy safety etc in order to maintain the same comfort I have of living on the street today.

The facts about the location and all the rest of it are just fluff to pad out the application. The fact of the matter is that we have all objected to this before the plans went in and now we can only comment on very few points. The decision seems to already have been made by the powers that be. There must be many more places in the town that are suitable for a development. Here we have the case of 150 dwellings vs a handful of residents that the council have not really shown much regard for.

Recently I have noticed some significant cracks on my property near the proposed access road and I can only imagine that not having designed this road properly this will only lead to more structural issues for me. The road is not built to cope with heavy traffic and the new design I feel will not be too different than what we have today in terms of road strength. The traffic passing will definitely have an impact on my property from many different angles and will no doubt reduce the quality of life for me and my fellow residents.

There quite simply, has to be, a better alternative.

Looking forward to discussing this in more detail should we be given the opportunity.

Many Thanks